

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,208	01/14/2002	Olivier Benoit	032326-165	2422
21839 7:	590 01/02/2004	EXAMINER		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			FRECH, KARL D	
	POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			PAPER NUMBER
	, ======		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/936,208	BENOIT, OLIVIER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karl D Frech	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent of the period for reply will, by staturent of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	·			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊑	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received in this National Stage			
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the fi 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language process.	tic priority under 35 U.S.0 irst sentence of the specif	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ication or in an Application Data Sheet.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of t	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) D Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/936,208

Art Unit: 2876

- 1. Claims 4-6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moreau 6,069,954. Moreau discloses a secret key cryptography algorithm (abstract) which includes two successive pseudo random and pseudo independent encryption steps; i.e. a number of successive calculation cycles (col 6 lines 45+). It is disclosed that a secret inner number is "XOR"ed with a message sub-stream and a secret key stream thus providing for a final encrypted data.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,208

Art Unit: 2876

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moreau 6,069,954 in view of well known prior art. Moreau discloses that as seen above.

Moreau does not disclose that the method is used for a smart cart. Data bearing smart cards are old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method of Moreau in a common smart card in order to avoid data collisions when reading/writing from/to the smart card..

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Juels 6,446,052 and Kocher et al 6,304,658 both disclose secret key countermeasure systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (703) 305 3491. As of January 14, 2004, the examiner's phone number will change to (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (703) 305 3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Page 3

Art Unit 2876